# REDUCTION OF TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS FROM SOLAR EVAPORATION PAN BY TYPHA IN TEXTILE INDUSTRY

#### Deepthi B

PG Scholar, Department of Civil Engineering Pandian Saraswathi Yadav Engineering College, Sivagangai, Tamil Nadu

## P. Pooja M.E.,

Assistant Professor Department of Civil Engineering Pandian Saraswathi Yadav Engineering College, Sivagangai, Tamil Nadu

#### Abstract

The total dissolved solids are those solids remain as soluble in textile Effulent. There are several methods available for removal of TDS. In this study the textile effluent is received from common Effluent Treatment plant (CETP). In this industries final effluent has high TDS. Mostly in textile industries the effluent are stored in form of salt to overcome this problem. The plant species TYPHA was directly analyzed in Evaporation Pan TDS was found to be 2, 65,000 mg/L to 900000 mg/L. The salt is produced in RO reject. So the plant typha is introduced in RO reject and to treat TDS reduction in RO reject. Textile industry is one of the most developing industries in India. In this study the textile industry from SIPCOT area was taken in consideration. The plant species were collected from local area. Then the effluent is allowed in rectangular box contains layer of filters and is test after detention time of interval of Two hour and observe the TDS reduction. It consumes high amount of water, processed water and produce highly polluted discharge water in large amounts. In textile industry final effluent has high TDS. The effluents are stored in the form of salt (Nacl). The TDS of the textile effluent was found to be reduced from 265000mg/l to 25000mg/l. The removal efficiency is about 96% and pH removal efficiency about 6.5%. In this study the TDS of the textile industry can be effectively reduced by introducing salt tolerant plants.

Keywords: Total dissolved solids, Textile Effluent, Evaporation Pan, and Typha

#### Introduction

### **Textile Dyeing Waste Water Risk**

Discharged wastewater by some industries under uncontrolled and unsuitable conditions is causing significant environmental problems. The importance of the pollution control and treatment is undoubtedly the key factor in the human future. If a textile mill discharges the wastewater into the local environment without any treatment, it will have a serious impact on natural water bodies and land in the surrounding area. High values of COD and BOD5, presence of particulate matter and sediments, and oil and grease in the effluent causes depletion of dissolved oxygen, which has an adverse effect on the aquatic ecological system. Effluent from textile mills also contains chromium, which has a cumulative effect, and higher possibilities for entering into the food chain. Due to usage of dyes and chemicals, effluents are dark in color, which increases the turbidity of water body. This in turn hampers the photosynthesis process, causing alteration in the habitat. In this paper Studied

| Volume 8 | Issue 1 | June 2022 | www.irjes.psyec.edu.in |
|----------|---------|-----------|------------------------|
|----------|---------|-----------|------------------------|

about the evaporation waste water and analyzed the characterization of wastewater and salt and also predicted the reduce of TDS by using **Typha** 

# Characterization of the Sample

## Sodium Chloride (Nacl)

Sodium chloride is commonly known as salt is an ionic compound with the ratio1:1 of sodium and chloride ions. Sodium chloride is the salt most responsible for salinity of seawater and extra cellular fluid and many multicellular organisms. It is commonly used as condiment and food preservative. It is a major source of sodium and chlorine compounds used as a feedstock.



**Figure 1 Salt Sample** 

## **Characterization of Waste Water**

The wastewater generates from textile industries was found to contain a high degree of pollutants with high TDS and suspended solids. The wastewater is highly colored and viscous due to dyestuff and suspended solids. Sodium is only major cation due to high consumption of sodium salts in processing units. Chloride is major anion found in wastewater but concentration of bicarbonate, sulphate and nitrate is also high. Sodium salts of these anions are most commonly used in this process. The Wastewater also has high BOD and COD indication its polluting nature.



Figure 2 Waste Water Sample

## Typha

The common Cattail is a large marsh plant that measures from 90 to 270cm in height. This unique plant is best characterized by its large cylindrical brown spike of female flowers .The plant Typha which is locally available in my village area are taken in this study.



Figure 3 Typha

## **Results and Discussion Effect of PH Test**

By varying PH PO was analyzed for a detention period of 5 days. They were done twice per day

| Tuble T Effect of TH on duy one |                |         |         |  |  |
|---------------------------------|----------------|---------|---------|--|--|
| S.No                            | Detention Time | Trial 1 | Trial 2 |  |  |
| 1                               | Initial        | 9.4     | 9.4     |  |  |
| 2                               | 2hr            | 9.3     | 9.2     |  |  |
| 3                               | 4hr            | 9.2     | 9.2     |  |  |
| 4                               | 6hr            | 9.1     | 9.2     |  |  |

## Table 1 Effect of PH on day one

### Table 2 Effect of PH on Day Two

| S.No | Detention Time | Trial 1 | Trial 2 |
|------|----------------|---------|---------|
| 1    | Initial        | 9.4     | 9.4     |
| 2    | 2hr            | 9.1     | 9.2     |
| 4    | 4hr            | 9.3     | 9.2     |
| 5    | бhr            | 9.2     | 9.1     |

## Table 3 Effect of PH on Day Three

| S.No | Detention Time | Trial 1 | Trial 2 |
|------|----------------|---------|---------|
| 1    | INITIAL        | 9.4     | 9.4     |
| 2    | 2HR            | 9.4     | 9.3     |
| 3    | 4HR            | 9.1     | 9.1     |
| 4    | 6HR            | 9.0     | 9.0     |

### Table 4 Effect of pH on Day Four

|      | -              | •       |         |
|------|----------------|---------|---------|
| S.No | Detention Time | Trial 1 | Trial 2 |
| 1    | Initial        | 9.4     | 9.2     |
| 2    | 2hr            | 9.1     | 9.1     |
| 4    | 4hr            | 9.0     | 9.0     |
| 5    | бhr            | 8.9     | 8.9     |

| S.No | <b>Detention Time</b> | Trial 1 | Trial 2 |
|------|-----------------------|---------|---------|
| 1    | Initial               | 9.4     | 9.3     |
| 2    | 2hr                   | 9.2     | 8.89    |
| 4    | 4hr                   | 8.9     | 9.0     |
| 5    | 6hr                   | 8.91    | 9.0     |

### Table 5 Effect of pH on Day Five

| Day   | TDS  | TDS Removal<br>Efficiency (%) | РН  | PH Removal<br>efficiency (%) |
|-------|------|-------------------------------|-----|------------------------------|
| day1  | 2500 | 0                             | 9.1 | 0                            |
| day2  | 2350 | 6                             | 9.1 | 0                            |
| day3  | 2300 | 6                             | 9.0 | 1.09                         |
| day4  | 2200 | 12                            | 8.9 | 2.19                         |
| day5  | 2180 | 12.8                          | 8.6 | 5.49                         |
| day6  | 2000 | 20                            | 8.5 | 6.59                         |
| day7  | 1700 | 32                            | 8.3 | 8.79                         |
| day8  | 1650 | 34                            | 8.2 | 8.79                         |
| day9  | 1500 | 40                            | 8.2 | 8.79                         |
| day10 | 1200 | 52                            | 8.1 | 10.9                         |

# Table 7 Effect of TDS and PH at Detention Time 6hr in RO-Feed

| Day    | TDS  | TDS Removal<br>Efficiency (%) | РН  | PH Removal<br>Efficiency (%) |
|--------|------|-------------------------------|-----|------------------------------|
| Day 1  | 2500 | 0                             | 9.1 | 0                            |
| Day 2  | 2350 | 6                             | 9.0 | 1.09                         |
| Day 3  | 2100 | 16                            | 9.0 | 1.0                          |
| Day 4  | 2100 | 16                            | 8.9 | 2.19                         |
| Day 5  | 2000 | 20                            | 8.6 | 5.4                          |
| Day 6  | 1980 | 20.08                         | 8.4 | 7.6                          |
| Day 7  | 1600 | 36                            | 8.3 | 8.7                          |
| Day 8  | 1500 | 40                            | 8.3 | 8.7                          |
| Day 9  | 1200 | 52                            | 8.2 | 9.8                          |
| Day 10 | 1000 | 60                            | 7.9 | 13.5                         |

| Day   | TDS  | TDS Removal<br>efficiency (%) | рН  | PH Removal<br>Efficiency (%) |
|-------|------|-------------------------------|-----|------------------------------|
| day1  | 2300 | 8                             | 9.0 | 1.09                         |
| day2  | 2300 | 8                             | 8.9 | 2.19                         |
| day3  | 2200 | 12                            | 8.7 | 4.39                         |
| day4  | 1860 | 25                            | 8.6 | 5.49                         |
| day5  | 1800 | 28                            | 8.6 | 5.49                         |
| day6  | 1400 | 44                            | 8.5 | 6.59                         |
| day7  | 1200 | 52                            | 8.3 | 6.59                         |
| day8  | 1150 | 54                            | 8.2 | 9.89                         |
| day9  | 1000 | 60                            | 8.0 | 12.1                         |
| day10 | 800  | 68                            | 7.9 | 13.2                         |

Table 8 Effect of TDS and PH at Detention Time 8hr in RO-Feed

Table 9 Effect of TDS and PH at Detention Time 2hr in RO- Rejecter

| Day   | TDS   | TDS Removal<br>Efficiency (%) | рН  | PH Removal<br>Efficiency (%) |
|-------|-------|-------------------------------|-----|------------------------------|
| day1  | 23000 | 0                             | 9.6 | 0                            |
| day2  | 22000 | 4                             | 9.2 | 4.1                          |
| day3  | 21200 | 7.2                           | 9.1 | 5.4                          |
| day4  | 21000 | 8.6                           | 9.0 | 6.25                         |
| day5  | 20000 | 13.04                         | 9.0 | 6.25                         |
| day6  | 19800 | 13.9                          | 8.9 | 7.29                         |
| Day7  | 18600 | 19.1                          | 8.6 | 10.4                         |
| Day8  | 18300 | 20.4                          | 8.5 | 11.4                         |
| Day 9 | 17800 | 22.6                          | 8.3 | 13.5                         |
| Day10 | 17000 | 26.08                         | 8.2 | 14.5                         |

| Day  | TDS   | TDS Removal<br>Efficiency (%) | РН  | PH Removal<br>Efficiency (%) |
|------|-------|-------------------------------|-----|------------------------------|
| day1 | 22000 | 4.34                          | 9.6 | 0                            |
| day2 | 21800 | 5                             | 9.4 | 4.1                          |
| Day3 | 20400 | 11.3                          | 9.3 | 5.4                          |
| day4 | 19080 | 17.04                         | 9.1 | 6.25                         |
| day5 | 18600 | 19.13                         | 9.0 | 6.25                         |
| day6 | 17500 | 23.9                          | 8.7 | 7.29                         |
| day7 | 16600 | 27.8                          | 8.6 | 10.4                         |

| day8  | 16350 | 28.9  | 8.5 | 11.4 |
|-------|-------|-------|-----|------|
| day9  | 16000 | 30.04 | 8.3 | 13.5 |
| day10 | 15600 | 32.17 | 8.2 | 14.5 |

## Conclusion

The sample collect from common effluent treatment plant .sample salt sample should be collect from of solid form and the waste water also collect in semi liquid stage. TDS reduction was analyzed by wetland plant called typha. TDS reduction was analyzed (RO-reject, RO-feed, RO-reject+ RO-feed) and found to be 71% though its efficiency was less by comparing other plants its survival capacity was higher. By introducing salt tolerant plants TDS was reduced up to minimum in preliminary stage itself. Unless it will results in the production of solid waste. According to approach which can neither be reused nor recycled but it can be reduced. In this way the treatment cost for final effluent will be economical.

## References

- Amal Uddi, Abdul Shukor Juraimi, Farooq Anwar, Md Hossain and Md. Amirul Alam ,(1972), 'Effect of salinity on proximate mineral composition of purslane (Portulca oleracea L.),Institute Tropical Agriculture, Universiti Putra Malaysia, Serdang, Malaysia Department of Crop Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Universiti Putra Malaysia, Serdang, Malaysia Department of Chemistry, University of Sargodha, Sargodha-40100, Pakistan.
- Alamgir Md., K Alia Naz, Sardar Khan, Muhammad Qasim, Salma Khalid, Said Muhammad, Muhammad Tariq (1988), 'metals toxicity and its bioaccumulation in purslane seedlings grown in controlled environment, Department of Environmental Sciences, Shankar Campus, Abdul Wali Khan University.
- 3. Nilanjana Rao L,(1876),' use of plant material as natural coagulants for treatment of waste water, SCO 179, Sector 7, Sonepat -131001, National Capital Region, Haryana, India.
- yongjie miao(2001), 'biological remediation of dyes in textile effluent a review on current' Abdullah S. Al-Farraj, Mohammad Al-Sewailem, Anwar Aly, Mohamed Al-Wabel, Sallem El-Maghraby charterization of waste water in textile industry waste Soil and Water Science Dept., Alexandria University, Egypt.
- Kaur SP, Wani AK, Singh K and Lal1(1988).' Wastewater production, treatment and use 'in India Water Technology Centre, Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi, India International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics, Hyderabad Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi, India.
- 6. kishore, fouzia moosavi, dr.r.k.varma(1992), 'effect of ethanolic extract of portulaca oleracea linn. On ethylene glycol and ammonium chloride induced urolithiasis, Department of pharmacology, Shadan College of Pharmacy, Hyderabad, India.
- 7. Olivier Lefebvre, Rene Moletta(1934), 'Treatment of organic pollution in industrial saline wastewater' INRA, UR050, Laboratories de Biotechnologies de Environments, Avenue des

Etangs, Narbonne, F-11100, France.

- Paul, s. K. Chavan and s. D. Khambe (2004), 'studies on characterization of Textile industrial waste water in solapur city adepartment of Microbiology, Miraj Mahavidyalaya, MIRAJ – 416410 (M.S.) INDIA.
- 9. Sabir Ali, B. F. Mohamed and G.(1996), 'Dreyling Salt Tolerance and Effects of Salinity on some Agricultural Crops, in the Sudan School of Life Sciences, Faculty of Science and Technology, Al Neelain University, Khartoum, P. O. Box 12702.